



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2001

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/164,426	09/30/1998	WING-KUEN CHUNG	081862.P109	6654		
75	90 12/17/2001					
RICHARD L GREGORY JR BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER			
			HARPER, KEVIN C			
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2664				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

8C

		A1: 4: _	. N.		- licent(a)	- 100 			
• •		Application	n IVO.		plicant(s)	10)			
Office Action Summary		09/164,426	3		CHUNG ET AL				
		Examiner			Art Unit				
		Kevin C. H			2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	September :	<u> 1998</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is	non-final						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,18,19,30 and 31</u> is/are rejected.									
7)⊠	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-17,20-29 and 32-43</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 Se<i>ptember 1</i>998</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	5) 🔲 N		ry (PTO-413) Paper No I Patent Application (P1				

Application/Control Number: 09/164,426

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (US 6,118,864).

Regarding claims 1, 18 and 30, Chang discloses a method for providing forwarding on ring-no-answer for remote telephone extensions (Figure 5B, steps 130, 160, 164, and 136; col. 3, lines 13-15; col. 15, lines 19-22 and lines 28-37; col. 17, lines 43-46) using VOPS (Figure 1A; abstract, lines 5-10; Figure 5B; col. 16, lines 60-65). The method comprises the steps of emulating a tie-line over packet data network (Figure 5, step 136), wherein the emulation comprises passing a ringing state of a telephone interface to a remote VOPS SCCS (Figure 1, item 19; col. 15, lines 54-61; note: the call forwarding is based on ring-no-answer) while maintaining the telephone interface in an on-hook state. Further regarding claim 30, the system processes executable instructions in a computer readable medium (col. 4, lines 8-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/164,426

Art Unit: 2664

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 18 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chang et al. (US 6,118,864).

2. Regarding claims 2, 18 and 31, Chang discloses receiving a telephone call from a PBX (col. 17, lines 43-46) to forward over a packet network. However, Chang does not disclose compressing the signals with a processor. One skilled in the art would recognize that voice signals are typically compressed and digitized for transport on packet networks (such as for AAL-2 in ATM networks). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit compressed audio signals over a packet network in the invention of Chang in order to save on bandwidth resources in the packet network.

Application/Control Number: 09/164,426

Art Unit: 2664

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

3. Claims 3-17, 20-29 and 32-43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 703-305-0139. The

examiner can normally be reached weekdays, except Wednesday, from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM

EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached at 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for

Technology Center (TC) 2600 is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Customer Service Office for TC 2600 at 703-306-0377.

Keyin C Harper

December 11, 2001

PRIMARY EXAMINER